- HUBBARD, D., CLEEK, G. W. & RYNDERS, G. F. (1950). J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. 44, 247.
- HUBBARD, D. & RYNDERS, G. F. (1947). J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. 39, 561.
- ILER, R. K. (1955). The Colloid Chemistry of Silica and Silicates. Chapters II-III. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1952). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- KATS, A. & HAVEN, Y. (1960). Phys. Chem. Glasses, 1, 99.
- LERCH, W. & BOGUE, R. H. (1927). J. Phys. Chem. 31, 1627.
- McIver, E. J. (1962). Personal communication.
- MIDGLEY, C. M. (1952). Acta Cryst. 5, 307.
- MURATA, K. J. (1946). U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 950, 25.
- NORTON, F. J. (1940). J. Appl. Phys. 11, 262.
- NURSE, R. W. (1952). Proc. Intern. Symposium Chemistry of Cement, London, 1952, p. 56. London: Cement and Concrete Assn.
- NURSE, R. W., WELCH, J. H. & GUTT, W. (1959). J. Chem. Soc., p. 1077.

- O'DANIEL, H. (1957). Zement-Kalk-Gips. 10, 166.
- O'DANIEL, H. & TSCHEISCHWILI, L. (1942). Z. Kristallogr. 104. 124.
- PAULING, L. (1960). The Nature of the Chemical Bond. Second edition, p. 548. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- Roy, D. M. (1958). J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 41, 293.
- RYNDERS, G. F., GRAUER, O. H. & HUBBARD (1948). J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. 41, 273.
- SCHLECHT, W. G. (1946). U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 950, 35.
- SCHOLZE, H. (1959). Glastech. Ber. 32, 81, 142.
- SMITH, D. K., MAJUMDAR, A. J. & ORDWAY, F. (1961). J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 44, 405.
- SMITH, J. V. (1963). Personal communication.
- SMITH, J. V. & BAILEY, S. W. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 801.
- SOFFER, B. H. (1961). J. Chem. Phys. 35, 940.
- VAN VALKENBURG, A. & MCMURDIE, H. F. (1947). J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. 38, 415.
- YAMAGUCHI, G., MIYABE, H., AMANO, K. & KOMATSU, S. (1957). J. Ceram. Soc. Japan, 65, 99.

# Acta Cryst. (1965). 18, 795

# The Crystal Structure of Tetragonal Selenium Trioxide

# BY F.C. MIJLHOFF\*

Crystallographic Laboratory of the University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

#### (Received 15 June 1964)

The crystal structure of selenium trioxide has been determined by three-dimensional Fourier synthesis followed by least-squares refinement. The tetragonal unit cell ( $P\overline{42}_1c$ , a=b=9.636, c=5.28 Å) contains two (SeO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> ring molecules, situated at 000 and  $\frac{1}{242}$ , their  $\frac{3}{4}$  axes coinciding with those of the cell. The average Se-O distances are 1.77 and 1.55 Å from Se to bridging and non-bridging O.

#### Introduction

Lehmann & Krueger (1952) described a method for the preparation of selenium trioxide in gram quantities by the action of sulphur trioxide on potassium selenate. A liquid mixture of sulphur trioxide and selenium trioxide results. Pure selenium trioxide can be obtained by distilling off the much more volatile sulphur compound. Thermal analysis of the SeO<sub>3</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub> system (Mijlhoff & Gerding, 1963) revealed that mixed crystals are formed having a composition between 25 and 100 mole % SeO<sub>3</sub>. An X-ray analysis was carried out in order to determine the constitution of the solid selenium trioxide and of the mixed crystals.

# Experimental

Mixed crystals were obtained by slow crystallization from a liquid mixture, and pure selenium trioxide crystals by slow sublimation in high vacuum. The oxides are very hygroscopic and chemically active,

but is was possible to select suitable crystals from a sample immersed in a commercial liquid Freon. Unitcell dimensions were estimated from Weissenberg photographs containing aluminum powder calibration lines. Systematic absences determined the space group uniquely. Crystal data are collected in Table 1. The mixed crystal consisted of two fragments differing slightly in cell volume, probably because they had different sulphur trioxide contents.

### Table 1. Crystal data

SeO <sub>3</sub> crystal	$a = b = 9.636 \pm 0.0003$ ,	$c = 5.28 \pm 0.02$ Å.
SeO <sub>3</sub> -SO <sub>3</sub> mixed crystal	a=b=9.486-9.413,	$c\simeq 5.12$ Å.
Space group: $P42_1c$		

Intensities were obtained from integrated equiinclination Weissenberg photographs made with Cu Ka radiation by the multiple film technique, the crystal rotating about the c (needle) axis. The zero to fourth level intensities for the pure selenium trioxide crystal were scaled by comparison with h0l intensities derived from a precession photograph made with Mo  $K\alpha$ 

<sup>\*</sup> Present address: Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

radiation. Since the crystals were lost before their shape could be determined, no corrections for absorption were made.

# **Two-dimensional synthesis**

The cell volume and symmetry indicated the presence of eight SeO<sub>3</sub> units in general positions, arranged in two groups of four around the two  $\overline{4}$  axes (Fig. 3). This was confirmed by the Patterson synthesis of the visually estimated mixed crystal *hk*0 intensity data, which were the first available. The vector *OP* in Fig. 1 corresponds to the Se–Se diagonal in the projection of the ring along (001). Fig. 2 represents the minimum function corresponding to two Patterson functions related by a translation *OP*. Here the two tetrameric rings at 000 and  $\frac{1222}{222}$  show up clearly. Since the mixedcrystal intensities could not be determined unambiguously because of the partial overlap of the reflexions associated with the two fragments, no further refinement of these data was carried out.

The photometered hk0 intensities from the pure selenium trioxide were used for further corrections of the x, y parameters by means of the centrosymmetric electron-density projection along the c axis, starting from the positions found for the mixed crystal. After all the structure factors had the right sign, individual temperature factors were computed and a final adjustment was made on the basis of a difference Fourier synthesis.

The estimation of the individual temperature factors was carried out as follows: If  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are the contributions of two different kinds of atom to the structure factor and K is the scaling factor, then  $KF_{obs} =$  $F_1 \exp(-B_1 s^2) + F_2 \exp(-B_2 s^2)$ . The exponentials were computed by least-squares methods as coefficients of  $F_1$  in small  $s^2$  intervals, and a plot of the logarithms of both these coefficients vs  $s^2$  gives K,  $B_1$ , and  $B_2$ .

### Three-dimensional synthesis and refinement

From the x, y coordinates and the Se–O distances found from electron diffraction in the gas phase, of 1.56 and 1.80 Å for Se to non-bridging and bridging O (Mijlhoff, 1965), a unique model could be constructed that did not violate the common notions about valence angles. The first set of z parameters was derived from this model; the two  $(SeO_3)_4$  molecules were placed in the cell with their centres at 000 and  $\frac{111}{222}$ . A three-dimensional Fourier synthesis of the electron density was computed and the coordinates corrected with the suggested shifts, multiplied by a factor 1.7 to account for the fact that the projection along [001] is centrosymmetric (cf. Cruickshank, 1950; Shoemaker, Donohue, Schomaker & Corey, 1950). Further refinement was accomplished by means of difference syntheses, leaving out reflexions with very large discrepancies between  $F_{obs}$  and  $F_{cale}$ , *i.e.*  $\Delta A$  or  $\Delta B > 10$  (Table 4). Table 4 shows that the  $F_{obs}$  of a number of high intensity, low angle reflexions were systematically too low, probably because no extinction corrections were made. For most other reflexions there was much better agreement. It was found necessary, with isotropic temperature factors, to readjust the scaling factors for the individual layer lines by about 10% or less.



Fig. 1. Patterson projection along [001] of  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the unit cell, arbitrary scale. *P* is the end point of a diagonally opposed Se-Se distance vector.



Fig. 2. Minimum function on arbitrary scale. The shift was made along *OP* in Fig. 1. Circles mark initial choice of atomic positions.

 Table 2. Final atomic positions and isotropic

 temperature factors for the asymmetric unit

	x	у	Z	В
Se	0.224	0.013	0.063	1.92
O(1)	0.120	0.101	-0.156	2.25
O(2)	0.336	-0.055	-0.104	2.6
O(3)	0.234	0.100	0.309	2.6

Table 3. List of structure factors used for refinement

h	k	1	F <sub>calc</sub>	F <sub>obs</sub>	h	k	ł	F <sub>cate</sub>	F <sub>obs</sub>	h	k	1	Fcalc	F <sub>obs</sub>
0	2	0	24	2.4	5	5	0	51.0	51.4	2	8	1	14.2	13.4
ľ	8	ľ	34.9	33.6		8		15.1	15.9		9	1	10.2	10.7
I.	10		16.0	15.0		9		25,2	27.1		10		8.1	7.6
E 1	l'i	0	25.3	24.3		11		4.7	4.5		11		22.5	23.5
L .	12		9.3	8.2		12		9.9	11.0		12		4.7	4.3
	3		19.1	17.9	6	6	0	22.6	23.8	3	4	1	19.6	20.2
1	4		21.0	18.4		7		15.4	14.6		<sup>!</sup> 5		24.7	24.5
	5		25.6	23.1		8		10.6	10.1		. 6	1	29.3	29.9
	6	i .	6.7	6.4		9	i	11.5	11.9	Í	7	i.	11.6	11,7
	7	!	26.3	27.7		10		6.8	8.0	1	8		8.0	6.4
	8		11.7	12.3	7	7	0	30.7	31.8		9		15.1	15.5
	9	:	36.0	37.4		8		5.4	6.0		10	1	7.9	5.7
	10		11.8	12.0		10		8.0	7.0		11		12.7	13.2
1	11	i i	14.4	17.1		11		14.6	15.9	4	5	11	53.1	54.8
	12	l	15.5	17.4	8	8	0	9.6	10.5		6		17.3	16.9
	13	÷	9.0	10.8		i 9		6.9	6.0		7	i	4.6	5.7
2	3	0	32.4	31.0	0	3	1	32.2	31.0		8	1	6.2	6.0
	'4	1	3.5	3.5	1	<sup>i</sup> 4		17.6	17.0	{	9		28.0	30,1
	6		42.5	39.7		6		29.1	26.5	1	,10		11.6	11.8
	į 7	ļ	15.3	13.8		7		5.0	5.8		<u>[11</u>	ŧ	7.9	8.2
	8		12.6	12.4		8	:	6.9	8.1	5	6	11	5.3	5.9
	10	1	j 14.0	11.9		9		39.8	39.6		' 7		20.2	21.1
	12		11.9	13.7		'10		7.7	6.6		8	ļ.	17.1	17.9
3	3	10	29.0	26.3		п	t.	6.2	8.0		9		8.7	10.0
	5	ļ.	14.7	15.6		12		4.3	5.1		10	1	9.9	9.2
	6		16.3	16.0	1	i 3	÷ 1	18.7	17.0		p11		8.4	9.2
ł	7	:	42.9	43.7		5		15.0	13.4	6	7	11	28.9	29.2
	8		7.8	7.6		: 6		15.3	15.4		1 <sup>8</sup>		17.5	17.8
1	9	i i	9.6	11.6		7		20.4	19.5		! 9	ļ	5.7	6.5
	10	·	17.5	19.8		8	i –	11.8	12.8	Ι_	10	ļ.,	4.8	5.9
	111		21.8	23.1		; 9	·	15.8	15.5	7	8	11	8.9	9.2
4	4	; O	58.9	58.6		10		; 7.4	6.9	Ι.	. ?	ł –	12.2	12.5
1	5		26.9	26.8	1	.11		11.9	12.1	18	19	11	12.8	14.5
	6		12.3	11.1	Ι.	12		4.4	4.8	10	11	12	8.5	9.0
	8	i.	17.8	19.7	2	4	1	33.8	36.2		2	i	5.5	0.2
1	19	1	10.5	10.5	1	15		12.5			15		9.3	y./
1	10	ì	12.6	12.3	1	10		6.4	1.3	1	16		10.0	15.8
1	μı	1	8.8	9.4	1	17		49.0	48./	1	17	1	40.0	38.9

Further refinement was carried out with a leastsquares program for refinement of coordinates and isotropic individual temperature factors, written by Rutten (1963) for the EL XI computer. Again, the structure factors of Table 4 were left out of consideration. After three cycles the shifts were less than the corresponding standard deviations and R had decreased

# Table 3 (cont.)

h	ĸ	I.	Fcalc	Fobs	h	k	I	Fcalc	F <sub>obs</sub>	h	k	1	F <sub>calc</sub>	Fobs
0	8	2	13.3	14.3	4	11	2	15.0	12.6	3	5	3	32.7	32.5
	10		8.0	7.1	5	5	2	26.6	26.9		6		10.9	11.3
	n		15.0	15.7		6		21.4	23.8	1	27		111.2	11.2
1	0	2	5.8	7.5		8		11.6	13.6		8		15.4	15.6
	2 i		29.9	29.6		9		20.5	20.2		. 9		18.8	18.4
- 1	3		5.3	3.8		10		5.7	5.1	4	. 6	3	29.3	28.4
	4		19.1	19.0	6	6	2	22.8	24.0		19		13.6	: 15.3
	5		28.5	29.1		7		12.1	11.8	5	6	3	11.8	12.6
	6		21.3	21.8		9		14.9	14.7		ı 7		26.5	29.6
	7		26.0	25.9		10		12.1	13.0	6	7	3	8.1	7.8
	8		13.3	12.2	7	7	2	21.8	21.6		8	:	9.5	8.8
	9		18.8	18.7		8		12.5	11.6		. 9	ĺ	8.5	i 7.3
- 1	10		9.5	8.9	8	8	2	14.4	12.3	7	8	3	11.8	10.8
- į	11		10.4	7.5	0	3	3	6.8	7.5	0	0	4	14.4	i 14.2
2	3	2	9.4	10.6		4		5.4	7.8		1	ŀ	26.5	28.4
1	4		10.4	10.2		5		25.2	26.5		3		43.1	44.5
	5		46.9	48.3		6		42.4	41.7		7		34.2	33.4
	6		32.5	33.9		8		13.5	13.3	1	1	4	13.6	11.1
	8		9.4	8.2		9	i	10.6	9.2		3		10.5	11.2
	9		23.3	23.2		10		8.0	7.4		4		19.9	19.9
	10		6.9	6.6	1	2	3	15.8	15.3		5		12.9	12.6
3	3	2	28.4	28.9		3		29.8	30.3		! 6		17.4	17.7
- 1	4		37.5	38.2		4		26.2	25.9		9		10.3	8.9
1	5		9.1	9.7		5		18.1	18.2	2	2	4	7.4	9.2
	6		15.1	16.5		6		18.1	18.5		3	1	9.5	10.0
_ !	7		25.6	26.7		7		22.0	22.3		5	1	36.1	36.4
- 1	8		11.7	11.3	ĺ	8		11.9	10.7		9		26.3	21.8
1	9		13.4	13.3		9		14.3	15.9	3	3	4	13.9	12.5
	10		9.1	9.6		10		11.0	9.6		4		29.6	28.1
- 1	11		14.8	12.8	2	3	3	17.9	17.1		7		12.1	12.1
4	4	2	38.6	39.6	2	4	3	53.8	53.4		8		9.2	7.5
	5		10.4	11.7		6		8.1	6.5	4	7	4	26.3	22.3
1	7		25.5	26.7		7		15.5	15.8		8		11.0	8.2
	8		19.0	19.3		8		20.9	19.4	5	6	4	19.2	10.4
	9		8.0	8.1		10		11.5	10.1	6	6	4	13.8	11.2
	10			50	3	4	3	81	94				1	1

# Table 4. List of structure factors not used in refinement (R=20%)

h k l	$F_{\text{calc}}$	$F_{\rm obs}$	h k l	$F_{\text{calc}}$	$F_{ m obs}$	h k l	$F_{calc}$	$F_{\rm obs}$
040	63.2	52.5	1 2 1	43.1	35.4	042	53.6	50.7
220	121.2	77.1	4	28.1	22.9	222	61.2	51.4
011	77-5	51.1	231	64.8	55.9	013	11.0	6.0
2	46.0	37.6	0 0 2	51.9	45.9	2	58.4	39.2
5	54.9	45.2	3	48.5	44.9	124	37.2	30.3

Table 5. Atomic positions (Å) and isotropic temperature factors, with standard deviations

	x	$\sigma_x$	У	$\sigma_y$	Z	$\sigma_z$	В	$\sigma_B$
Se	+2.1556	0.0014	+0.1209	0.0013	+0.3329	0.0020	1.93	0.02
O(1)	+1.151	0.011	+0.971	0.011	-0.823	0.012	2.25	0.20
O(2)	+ 3.239	0.011	-0.528	0.011	-0.550	0.014	2.60	0.21
O(3)	+2.341	0.011	+ 0.959	0.011	+1.633	0.012	2.60	0.21

Table 6. Interatomic distances and angles and their standard deviations in  $(SeO_3)_4$ 

The numbers refer to Fig. 3.											
	Dist	ances			Angles						
Se-O		0-0			O-Se-O		Se-O-Se				
$\sigma = 0.0$	013 Å	$\sigma = 0.0$	18 Å		$\sigma = 0.85^{\circ}$	)	$\sigma = 0.75^{\circ}$				
1-5	1·75 Å	5-8	2·68 Å		5-1-8	98·7°	1-5-2 1	23·2°			
1-8	1.80	9–13	2.79		9-1-13	128.2					
1–9	1.54	8–9	2.72		8-1-9	108.4					
1-13	1.56	5-13	2.73		5-1-13	110.5					
		5–9	2.59		5-1-9	103-2					
		8-13	2.64		8-1-13	103-2					

to 6%. The resulting parameters are collected in Table 2. Table 3 lists the final calculated structure factors together with the observed F's. Since absorption has been neglected the B values include some absorption effects and therefore probably only their differences are more or less significant.

798

#### **Discussion of the structure**

The arrangement of the molecules in the cell is given in Fig. 3. The molecule itself resembles closely the (PNCl<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> molecule, described by Ketelaar & de Vries (1939) and reinvestigated recently by Hazekamp, *Migchelsen &* Vos (1962).

The final coordinates and isotropic temperature factors, together with their standard deviations, are given in Table 5, and the intramolecular distances and angles in Table 6. The numbering in Table 6 corresponds to Fig. 3.

The Se–O distances in the ring are not equal, and in view of the standard deviations the difference must be regarded as significant (significance level 1%). The non-bridging Se–O distances are considerably shorter. This is a common feature within the class of polyoxo complexes in which a number of  $AO_4$  tetrahedra share oxygen atoms.

The differences in bridging and non-bridging bond lengths can be explained in terms of  $d_{\pi}-p_{\pi}$  bonding, which has been shown (Craig, MacColl, Nyholm, Orgel & Sutton, 1954; Jaffé, 1954; Stone & Seyferth,



Fig. 3. Positions of (SeO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> molecules in the unit cell. z-coordinates in asymmetric unit are in Å.

1955; Cruickshank, 1961) to occur in these tetrahedrally coordinated oxo complexes.

Cruickshank (1961) has reviewed most known distances in this type of compound; recently data about  $P_4O_{12}^{4-}$  in  $Na_4P_4O_{12}$ .  $4H_2O$  and  $S_3O_9$  were given by Ondik (1963) and Pascard & Pascard-Billy (1963) respectively. Following Cruickshank's reasoning there are two systems of  $\pi$  orbitals in the Se<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub> molecule. One extends throughout the molecule and includes p orbitals of both kinds of oxygen atom and one  $d_y$  orbital of the Se atom, the mismatch of p orbitals on the bridge-oxygen being only slight. In the other  $p_{\pi}-d_{\pi}$  orbitals only the non-bridging oxygen p orbitals are involved, together with the other selenium  $d_y$  orbital. The  $\pi$  bond orders are 41/186=0.22 and 52/186+ $\frac{1}{2}$ =0.78 for the bridging and non bridging Se-O bonds respectively.

My gratitude is due to Prof. Dr. C. H. MacGillavry and Dr. C. H. Stam for their help and advice and to Dr. Elisabeth Rutten, who managed to have the computer programs always ready just in time for me to use them. I am also indebted to Mr. A. Kreuger of the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (ZWO), who succeeded in taking excellent photographs from this almost unmanageable substance.

## References

- CRAIG, D. P., MACCOLL, A., NYHOLM, R. S., ORGEL, L. E. & SUTTON, L. E. (1954). J. Chem. Soc. p. 332.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1950). Acta Cryst. 3, 515.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1961). J. Chem. Soc., p. 5486.
- HAZEKAMP, R., MIGCHELSEN, T. & Vos, A. (1964). Acta Cryst. 15, 539.
- JAFFE, H. H. (1954). J. Phys. Chem. 58. 185.
- KETELAAR, J. J. A. & de VRIES, T. A. (1939). Rec. Trav. chim. Pays-Bas, 58, 1081.
- LEHMANN, H. A. & KRUEGER, G. (1952). Z. anorg. Chem. 269, 324.
- MIJLHOFF, F. C. (1965). Rec. Trav. chim. Pays-Bas, 84, 74.
- MIJLHOFF, F. C. & GERDING, H. (1963). Rec. Trav. chim. Pays-Bas, 82, 807.
- ONDIK, H. M., BLOCK, S. & MACGILLAVRY, C. H. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 555.
- ONDIK, H. M. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, A 31.
- PASCARD, R. and PASCARD-BILLY, C. (1963. Acta Cryst. 16, A 35.
- RUTTEN, E. W. M. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, A 171.
- SHOEMAKER, D. P., DONOHUE, J., SCHOMAKER, V. & COREY, R. B. (1950). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 72, 2328.
- STONE, F. G. A. & SEYFERTH, D. (1955). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 4, 372.